



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Clark & Elbing LLP
101 Federal Street
Boston, MA 02110

COPY MAILED

NOV 17 2008

In re Application of :
Arthur Michaelis :
Application No. 10/734,338 : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Filed: December 11, 2003 :
Attorney Docket No. 50150/054002 :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 31, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed, September 15, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on December 16, 2006.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a response to the Office action, (2) the petition fee of \$810, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.


It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney to prosecute the application. If the person signing the petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney document must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the

petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until appropriate instructions are received.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Shelley Clement at (571)272-6052 or in her absence to the undersigned at (571)272-7099.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1623 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received



David Bucchi
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: David Bradin
P.O. Box 14329
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709